

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/944,083	08/31/2001	Steven M. Lefkowitz	10010381-1	1180
75	590 09/09/2002			
Gordon Stewart			EXAMINER	
Agilent Technologies			TRAN, MY CHAU T	
Legal Dept., DI	L429		,	
P.O. Box 7599			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Loveland, CO 80537-0599			1641	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2002	, Y

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
			LEFKOWITZ ET AL.	
		09/944,000		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	1641	
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	My-Chau T. Tran		ldress
Tariad for	- Danly			
A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a oly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A ng date of this communication, even if	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered time  ty THS from the mailing date of this of  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	January 2002 .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL 2b) T	his action is non-final.	ı: 4- A	ha marita io
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of Claims	vance except for formal ma r <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to t .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	He mems is
	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4)[	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
	tion Papers			
ا∟∾	The specification is objected to by the Examil	ner.	No. Evenines	
10) <u> </u>	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b)[] objected to by	y the Examiner.	<b>.</b> )
		the drawing(s) be need in about	syance. See or or it needs	·/· niner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b)	J disapproved by the Exam	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	reply to this Office action.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		2 & 119(a)-(d) or (f)	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.	J. 8 113(a)-(a) 51 (1).	
a	a)	المحاطم على الماران		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	Application No	
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received if	on received in this Nation	nal Stage
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International  * See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies	not received.	
14)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nai application)
1	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language     Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application na	s been received.	
Attachm				r No(s)
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 iformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) Pape e of Informal Patent Application :	(PTO-152)
٠, ت ٠.٠				Part of Paper No. 4

Art Unit: 1641

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-6 and 36-43, drawn to a method of covalently bonding a ligand to a substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
  - II. Claims 7-15, 25, and 44-47, drawn to a method of producing an array of two different polymer ligands, classified in class 435, subclass 287.7.
  - III. Claims 16-24 and 26, drawn to a method of producing an array of two different nucleic acids, classified in class 436, subclass 55.
  - IV. Claims 27-29, drawn to a method of detecting the presence of an analyte in a sample, classified in class 436, subclass 536.
  - V. Claims 30-32, drawn to a hybridization assay, classified in class 435, subclass 6.
  - VI. Claims 33-35, drawn to a kit for use in hybridization assay, classified in class 422, subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group I, II, III, IV and V are unrelated and independent inventions.

Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different inventions as claimed have different method steps and modes of operation. The method step of contacting the surface with the ligand to covalently bond the ligand to the substrate of Group I is not required

Art Unit: 1641

by the claims of Group II-V. The method step of contacting the surface with two different polymer ligands to covalently bond the polymer ligands to the substrate and produce an array of Group II is not required by the claims of Group I and III-V. The method step of depositing each of the two different nucleic acids onto different regions of the surface of Group III is not required by the claims of Group I-II and IV-V. The method step of detecting any binding complexes on the surface and obtaining binding complex data of Group IV is not required by the claims of Group I-III and V. The method step of detecting a hybridization pattern of Group V is not required by the claims of Group I-IV.

- Inventions of Group VI and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. 3. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.
- Inventions Group VI and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. 4. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/944,083

Art Unit: 1641

- 5. Inventions Group VI and Group III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.
- 6. Inventions Group VI and Group IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.
- 7. Inventions Group VI and Group V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of Group IV.

Art Unit: 1641

- 8. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not co-extensive thus requiring a burdensome search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, different patentability considerations are involved for each group. For example, a patentability determination for Group III would involve a determination of the patentability of the combination of a composition comprised of an olefin functional group and a nucleic acid (independent of its use) while a patentability determination for Group IV would involve a consideration of the patentability of determining the presence of an analyte in a sample using the binding complex data. These considerations are very different in nature.
- 9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1641

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-6999. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on 703-305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

mct

September 1, 2002

BAO-THUYL. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

9/4/02